Housing, Dining, & Parking

Capacity Task Force Sub-Committee
Dining Benchmarks & Data Points

Seating and Design Capacity
• Thomas Jefferson design capacity - 366
• Rayl (Quad) design capacity - 307
• Havener design capacity - 456

Number of Diners
• Lunch meals have the greatest participation numbers.
• Chart 1 data was taken from October 09. Meals refers to number of diners. All other points are dollar amounts.

Service (Convenient dining locations & quality of food)
• Based on walking distance (Chart 2), current locations are convenient to diners.
• Based on data from two surveys, most diners rate quality at or above average. See Charts 3 and 4.
# Chart 1 – Participation Counts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CashCC</th>
<th>DBD PTS</th>
<th>StuCharge</th>
<th>Meals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rayl</td>
<td>216.80</td>
<td>1,780.73</td>
<td>146.36</td>
<td>9697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>309.39</td>
<td>5,326.12</td>
<td>291.96</td>
<td>15741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Jefferson</td>
<td>4092.11</td>
<td>11103.56</td>
<td>1789.6</td>
<td>7126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyote Jacks</td>
<td>17936.87</td>
<td>29816.3</td>
<td>5610.65</td>
<td>23898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Court</td>
<td>14,208.88</td>
<td>18435.08</td>
<td>3878.39</td>
<td>6435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Einstein's</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Residential Dining is remote from Academic core. Havener Center only centrally located option.
Overall, I have been satisfied with the food and dining services on campus:

NOTE: This dining question was included on the Opening Week Survey that is administered on-line to new freshmen who participated in Opening Week. This survey was administered on the Friday, the last day, of Opening Week.
Chart 4 – Dining Service Survey

Fall 08 Survey

- Likelihood to recommend:
  - Extremely/Very Likely: 66
  - Somewhat Likely: 24
  - Not Very/At All Likely: 10

- Likelihood to repurchase:
  - Extremely/Very Likely: 93
  - Somewhat Likely: 53
  - Not Very/At All Likely: 5

Spring 09 Survey

- Likelihood to recommend:
  - Extremely/Very Likely: 38
  - Somewhat Likely: 32
  - Not Very/At All Likely: 29
Dining Observations & Considerations

• The dashboard usage reports indicate the campus’s overall dining capacity is about 1130 seats with about 1180 to 1340 patrons served per meal.

• The Rayl Cafeteria’s physical infrastructure is in very poor condition and likely needs to be replaced before 2015. The age of the facility also does not allow for more recent considerations of life safety such as accessibility and sprinkler systems.

• Future students are more likely to be residential and more will be from low income families.

• Havener capacity is exceeded Monday and Wednesdays, 11:50 a.m. – 12:20 p.m. during the common lunch hour. SEE CHART 5.
Chart 5 – Havener Lunch Usage
Dining Recommendations

• The development of a facility to replace Rayl cafeteria will be critical in the next three – five years. The current campus population cannot be supported without the 307 seats that will be lost when Rayl is taken off line.

• Resist expanding the food court style dining options in the future: Low income and male tend to prefer the traditional cafeteria all you care to eat dining option.
Housing Benchmarks & Data Points

Housing occupancy
• See Chart 6
• Capacity post renovation is 1773. This is above the highest occupancy seen by Residential Life.

Fall occupancy counts
• Because Organizational Housing is not required to accept a minimum number of students, for the purposes of capacity we are reporting only residence hall numbers.
  • First Week    Fourth Week
    Fall 2009 - 1689    1643
    Fall 2008 - 1724    1647

Convenient location
• Based on walking distance, the subcommittee has determined current locations are convenient to residential students. All locations are no more than a 15 minute walk from the farthest extreme of campus
# Chart 6 – Housing Occupancy Counts

## Residential Rooms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thomas Jefferson</th>
<th>Quadrangle</th>
<th>Residential College</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North North</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Mac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North West</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>Farrar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>Kelly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Holtman</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Residence Hall Capacity Post Renovation:** 1773

## Greek/Organizational Capacity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
<th>Occupancy</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fraternities</td>
<td>1110</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>72.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sororities</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>87.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCH</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>96.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1289</strong></td>
<td><strong>961</strong></td>
<td><strong>74.6%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## University Apartments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Apartments</th>
<th>Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nagogami</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murry Townhouse</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Housing Observations/Considerations

• The dashboard usage reports indicate the campus’s housing need is about 1643-1689 with an average of 1666. As noted previously, post renovation housing capacity is 1773.

• We are currently at or above capacity in residence halls only due to a TJ tower being off-line for renovation.

• The Quadrangle’s physical infrastructure is in very poor condition and likely needs to be replaced before 2015.

• Based on a master plan completed in 2009, Residential Life has the ability to house all those who desire housing once the renovation/construction cycle is complete. The type of facility constructed (residential rooms vs. apartments) should be considered with the changing student demographic).

• Future students are more likely to be residential and more will be from low income families. This suggests need for affordable housing

• Fraternity/sorority participation levels are expected to remain static at approximately 935 students.

• Collegiate Station has been utilized this year due to the TJ renovation. Occupancy in this area is high (95%) and may indicate student preference for apartment style living. Additionally, Residential Life maintains a waiting list for other apartments that are rented to families or visiting faculty/staff.

• Based on the high occupancy of Collegiate Station and Residential Life’s waitlist, should the university build/acquire additional apartments, there is potential for apartments request and/or student retention to apartments to increase.
Housing Recommendations

• Current residence hall housing needs are being met. Based on today’s demographics, additional beds are needed only as replacement for existing beds.

• Considering the physical infrastructure of the Quadrangle, the development of a facility to replace this area needs to start in a few years. The current campus population cannot be supported without the 400 beds that will be lost when the Quad is taken off line.
Parking Benchmarks & Data Points

• Availability of parking in desired locations

• Number of parking spaces available – 2330

• Number of parking spaces assigned
Parking Observations/Considerations

• The dashboard usage reports indicate the campus’s overall parking capacity is about 2330

• Upon investigation by committee, we learned no data points existing to direct a recommendation to this task force.
Parking Recommendations

• Based on the above, we recommend asking the new Police Chief and Parking Committee to investigate the parking issue and deliver data points on
  • Availability of parking in desired locations.
  • Number of parking spaces available.
  • Number of parking spaces assigned.

• Continue to develop parking spaces to replace any parking removed